

An Analysis of Turkey's Competitive Potential in the Global Wine Market

Gökhan UNAKITAN¹

¹ Prof. Dr., Tekirdağ Namık Kemal Üniversitesi, Ziraat Fakültesi, Tarım Ekonomisi Bölümü, Tekirdağ/Türkiye, unakitan@nku.edu.tr, ORCID: 0000-0002-9824-5975

Abstract: The study examined the structure of the global wine market based on production, consumption, and export data for the 2019–2023 period, and examined Turkey's position in the global market within the framework of the Revealed Comparative Advantages Index. The analysis revealed that France and Italy maintain their global leadership with high and stable RCA scores. While some countries, such as Chile, experience periodic declines in RCA scores, others, such as New Zealand, demonstrate consistent performance by focusing on niche markets. It was determined that major economies like Germany, the United States of America, and China have a comparative disadvantage in wine exports, positioning themselves primarily as importers. Despite its extensive vineyard potential, Türkiye faces a significant comparative disadvantage with low RCA scores. To increase its competitiveness in the global market, Türkiye must focus on niche markets by emphasizing the added value of unique grape varieties and geographical indications, expand quality-focused modern viticulture practices, and develop targeted marketing strategies.

Key Words: Wine Export Market, Competitive Analysis, Balassa Index, Market Strategies

1. INTRODUCTION

Wine is an alcoholic beverage produced from fermented grapes, with origins dating back to 3500 BC. With its rich diversity, which varies depending on grape type, growing conditions, production techniques, and ripening processes, it stands out not only as a commercial product but also as an integral part of gastronomic culture and a product that holds a significant place in global trade. The global wine market exhibits a highly dynamic structure in terms of both production and consumption, and intense competition exists among countries. EU countries lead in production, consumption, export, and import in this market. France, in particular, maintains its dominance in this sector, accounting for 33% of global wine exports by 2023 (FAO, 2025a). Viticulture potential, production technologies, marketing strategies, and agricultural supports such as the Common Agricultural Policy play a critical role in countries' competitive advantage.

International competition in the wine sector, with its multidimensional and dynamic nature, provides a rich basis for academic research. While structural characteristics such as industry density, market size, and product differentiation are among the elements that form the basis of competitive advantage (Demir, 2006), terroir elements such as geographical location, climate, and soil structure, along with technological competence and government policies, also play a strategic role (Castaldi et al., 2006; Balogh & Fertő, 2015). Final product quality stands out as one of the most critical determinants of competitiveness. Wine

quality is directly related to the grape varieties used, growing conditions at harvest time, and vinification processes. Studies conducted specifically on Türkiye support this general framework while also shedding light on the sector's unique structural problems. Factors such as high taxes, unregistered production, difficulties in procuring raw materials, and the small-scale nature of enterprises are emphasized as limiting Türkiye's competitive potential (Şenuyar et al., 2014; Cabaroğlu, 2023).

Various quantitative indices are used to empirically measure the competitiveness of agricultural products in international trade, moving beyond qualitative assessments. Among these methods, one of the most widely used tools is the Revealed Comparative Advantage (RCA) index, developed by Balassa (1965), which reveals a country's relative advantage by comparing its export performance in a specific product with the world average. The RCA index allows for objective determination of which products countries specialize in and where they have a competitive advantage in international markets. The validity and prevalence of this index in analyzing the competitiveness of agricultural products has been proven by numerous international studies, both Türkiye-focused and international. Research has revealed the competitive structure of Turkish agriculture in many product groups such as cherries (Yılmaz, 2025), apricots (Süygün, 2021), tomatoes (Keskin, 2021), citrus fruits (Özözen, 2023), olives and olive oil (Özözen, 2024), wheat and cotton (Paksoy & Şahin, 2023) and hazelnut (Abdikoğlu & Unakitan, 2016) through this index.

However, limitations of the standard RCA index, such as its lack of imports and its asymmetric

structure, have led to the development of alternative and complementary indices. Therefore, recent studies tend to use multiple indicators together to provide a more holistic picture of competitiveness. Indeed, studies examining the competitive dynamics of the international wine market often use different indices, such as Symmetric RCA (SRCA), Normalized RCA (NRCA), and Relative Trade Advantage (RTA), along with the standard RCA, to increase the robustness of the analysis (Balogh & Fertő, 2015; Yılmaz, 2025). This approach is also increasingly prevalent in the Turkish agricultural economics literature. In studies specific to Türkiye, derivative indices developed by Vollrath (Süygün, 2021) and Laursen (Kadakoğlu et al., 2024) are also utilized in addition to the Balassa (RCA) index to more precisely measure competitiveness. These methodologies have also provided concrete findings regarding Türkiye's position in the wine market. An analysis of the EU market revealed that while Türkiye has a competitive advantage against Germany, this strength remains weak against other important markets such as Belgium, the Netherlands, France, Italy, and Spain (Uysal et al., 2016). Comparative advantage indices provide a powerful analytical framework for diagnosing countries' current competitive landscapes and developing future strategies.

The study aims to analyze the competitive structure in the global wine market, identify Türkiye's position within this framework, and develop recommendations for Türkiye's role in the global wine market. Accordingly, the outlook for global wine exports and the market shares of major player countries will be examined. Türkiye's competitiveness in the international wine market will then be analyzed using the Revealed Comparative Advantage Index. Based on the findings, the study aims to offer concrete policy recommendations to strengthen Türkiye's competitive position in wine exports.

2. MATERIAL AND METHODS

2.1. Material

The study utilized macro data for the years 2019-2023. Vineyard areas, grape production, wine production, and export data for France, Italy, Spain, Chile, India, Australia, New Zealand, the U.S., Germany, Portugal, Argentina, South Africa, China, and Türkiye, which were considered in the comparison conducted within the scope of the competitiveness analysis, were obtained from the

Food and Agricultural Organization of the United Nations (FAO).

2.2. Method

The Revealed Comparative Advantage (RCA) index, developed by Balassa (1965), was used in the study to reveal countries' comparative advantages or disadvantages in the global wine market. The RCA index aims to reveal relative productivity differences across countries through trade flows.

The RCA index measures how a country's export performance in a specific product group compares to its share of global trade. The formula proposed by Balassa (1965) is as follows:

$$RCA_j^i = \frac{E_j^i / E^i}{E_j^w / E^w}$$

Where, RCA_j^i represents the Revealed Comparative Advantage Index score for country i in good j , E_j^i represents the export value of country i in good j , E^i represents the total export value of country i in good j , E_j^w represents the total export value of world good j , and E^w represents the total export value of the world.

When the RCA score is greater than 1 ($RCA > 1$), the country in question is considered to have a comparative advantage in that product. This indicates that the country is a competitive producer and exporter of that product. If the RCA score is less than 1 ($RCA < 1$), the country is considered to have a comparative disadvantage in that product.

3. WINE MARKET

An examination of the world's major grape-producing countries' vineyard acreage between 2019 and 2023 reveals that Spain leads the world with over 900 thousand hectares (Table 1). Spain is followed by France, with over 750 thousand hectares of vineyard area, and Italy, with over 700 thousand hectares. Italy's increase in vineyard area from 2019 to 2023 is a positive indicator for Europe's traditional viticultural hub. These three traditional European producers maintain their dominance in the sector, accounting for over one-third of the total vineyard area. China, meanwhile, ranks fourth as the Asian continent's largest power in this field. Türkiye ranks fifth globally with approximately 380 thousand hectares of vineyard area, demonstrating its significant potential as a viticultural player. However, Türkiye also appears to have experienced a contraction in vineyard acreage

between 2019 and 2023. This situation can be interpreted as a reflection of productivity-focused changes in the country's viticulture strategies or the agricultural policies implemented. Countries such as

the United States (US), Argentina, Portugal, Chile, and India comprise the other major players in the top ten.

Table 1: Major countries in vineyards (1000 ha)

	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023
Spain	937	932	929	923	913
France	755	760	758	758	753
Italy	698	704	703	710	713
China	746	713	704	707	609
Türkiye	405	401	390	385	378
U.S.A.	378	374	365	364	361
Argentina	215	215	211	207	205
Portugal	176	176	176	176	176
Chile	193	191	182	181	172
India	140	150	155	163	169
Australia	128	130	135	114	124
South Africa	110	115	114	117	111
Germany	101	101	101	101	101
New Zealand	36	36	36	35	35

Source: FAO, 2025

When examining grape production volumes according to countries, China is the undisputed world leader, with production ranging from 13 to 15 million tons and a market share of approximately 19% (Table 2). Traditional producers Italy and France rank second and third, followed by China, while Spain, the world leader in vineyards, has notably fallen to fourth place in production. The U.S.

maintains its fifth-place production volume. Türkiye, meanwhile, ranks sixth, with production ranging from 3.4 to 4.17 million tons. The decline in production observed from 2022 to 2023 can be explained by the combined impact of various macro and microeconomic factors, such as climatic factors, global economic conditions, agricultural diseases, or changes in market dynamics.

Table 2. Major grape producers (thousand tons)

	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023
China	14284	14388	15068	15443	13566
Italy	7900	8222	8149	8438	6669
France	5490	5858	5074	6200	6205
U.S.A.	6315	5479	5474	5409	5361
Spain	5745	6818	6087	5902	4823
India	3041	3181	3358	3401	3740
Türkiye	4100	4209	3670	4165	3400
Chile	2715	2435	2313	2462	2321
South Africa	1884	2008	2000	2065	1974
Australia	1554	1475	1886	1450	1550
Argentina	2520	2056	2241	1937	1455
Germany	1125	1150	1151	1223	1174
Portugal	865	853	978	904	1000
New Zealand	413	457	370	532	532

Source: FAO, 2025

An examination of data on the global wine market between 2019 and 2022 reveals that the market continues to be shaped by the strong dominance of traditional European producers, often referred to as the "Old World" (Table 3). Italy, France, and Spain, in particular, consistently hold the top three

positions, controlling approximately half of the market. The fact that the total production share of these three countries fluctuated between 45% and 50% throughout the period under review demonstrates the highly concentrated nature of the market. Producers defined as the "New World"

constitute the second tier of competition. Although the United States (US) and China, both countries in this group, competed for fourth and fifth places, both countries experienced a decline in market share during this period. Other major New World producers, such as Australia, Chile, and Argentina, maintain their positions in the top ten with stable production volumes. Türkiye accounted for only 0.25% to 0.28% of total global production between 2019 and 2022. This demonstrates that, despite

Türkiye's extensive vineyards and deep-rooted viticulture, this potential has not yet been fully realized in industrial wine production. Therefore, Türkiye's current production scale suggests that its competitive strategy should be based on value-added elements such as quality, authentic local grapes, and branding, rather than volume. Table grapes and dried grapes account for 52.88% of the total grape production in Türkiye in 2023, while wine grapes account for 8.72% (TÜİK, 2025).

Table 3. Wine production (thousand ton)

	2019	2020	2021	2022
Italy	4986	5192	5089	5401
France	4242	4689	3784	4606
Spain	3370	4070	3701	3640
U.S.A.	2631	2006	2052	2063
China	2066	2000	1814	1818
Australia	1197	1107	1482	1307
Chile	1194	1034	1344	1244
Argentina	1302	1080	1248	1145
South Africa	974	1040	1133	1064
Portugal	635	627	719	666
Germany	438	473	453	482
New Zealand	297	329	266	383
Türkiye	85	86	97	74

Source: FAO, 2025

France is the undisputed leader in the global market with an export value of approximately \$12.9 billion (Table 4). This stability in French export value maintains the country's strong position in the high-value-added and premium wine segments. Italy ranks second with over \$8.3 billion in exports, making it the second-largest market power. Spain follows these two countries with an export value of approximately \$3.1 billion. Following the three leading countries, New World producers such as Chile, Australia, New Zealand, and the United States experienced a significant decline in their export value from 2022 to 2023. Chile's export value, in particular, fell from \$1.9 billion to \$1.4 billion, while Argentina's fell from \$814 million to \$677 million. Contrary to this general trend, the slight increase in export value of European producers such as Germany and Portugal suggests that these countries are more resilient to market fluctuations.

Türkiye, with an export value of \$14 million in 2022, lags far behind leading countries. Furthermore, it is noteworthy that export value will rise to \$25.09 million in 2023, representing an increase of

approximately 84%. This dynamic growth, despite a low starting point in absolute terms, indicates a growing acceptance of Turkish wines in international markets or a successful focus on higher-value product segments. This positive change demonstrates the potential for Türkiye to strengthen its position in the global wine market in the future.

4. RESULTS

Table 5 shows the scores for the 2019-2023 period, based on the Revealed Comparative Advantage (RCA) analysis conducted to determine the dynamics of the global wine market and the positions of exporting countries within it. An RCA index greater than 1 indicates a comparative advantage for a given product in the relevant country, while a value less than 1 indicates a comparative disadvantage. These analyses reveal that the wine market has a distinct hierarchy, and that countries' competitiveness exhibits different dynamics over time.

Table 4. Major countries in wine exports (million USD)

	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023
France	10819	9935	12925	12925	12902
Italy	7079	7108	8255	8247	8362

Spain	3008	2999	3380	3142	3146
Chile	1921	1817	1970	1910	1406
Australia	2053	2035	1605	1454	1359
New Zealand	1230	1306	1387	1422	1297
U.S.A.	1384	1311	1451	1463	1224
Germany	1154	1034	1168	1098	1153
Portugal	910	976	1082	988	999
Argentina	793	776	828	814	677
South Africa	660	622	750	694	619
China	307	158	325	379	444
Türkiye	15	7	9	14	25
India	8	5	4	5	3
World	35628	34160	40441	39879	38926

Source: FAO, 2025

Argentina, at a comparative disadvantage at 0.90 in 2019, exceeded the threshold by rising to 1.02 in 2020, but fell below 1 again in 2021 and 2022. In 2023, it rose again to 1.01, gaining a relative advantage. This fluctuating trend demonstrates that Argentina's competitiveness in wine exports is fragile and can fluctuate depending on market conditions. The US's RCA score fell from 0.40 in 2019 to 0.35 in 2023. The low relative weight of wine exports within the country's large total export volume is the primary reason for the marginal RCA. This suggests that, despite being a wine producer, the US does not play a significant export role in the global wine market due to the saturation of its domestic market and the dominance of other export industries.

Türkiye's wine export performance indicates a significant comparative disadvantage. While the RCA score, which was 0.03 in 2019, has risen to 0.04 in 2023, these values are quite low and indicate that Türkiye is not competitive in the global wine export market. While Türkiye has the potential to produce high-quality wines given its climate and soil conditions, it is not sufficiently reflecting this potential in global markets. The characteristics of the domestic market, local regulations, and lack of promotion in global markets are among the main reasons for Türkiye's persistent disadvantage in wine exports.

Tablo 5. RCA Scores

	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023
France	6,61	6,61	7,30	7,45	7,54
Italy	5,98	6,08	6,01	6,47	6,22
Chile	6,48	6,62	6,73	6,93	5,25
Portugal	5,34	5,72	5,45	5,11	4,82
New Zealand	2,04	2,30	2,13	2,37	2,42
South Africa	2,82	2,77	2,79	2,68	2,38
Spain	2,35	2,33	2,30	2,33	2,23
Australia	2,49	2,92	1,61	1,37	1,37
Argentina	0,90	1,02	0,95	0,78	1,01
Germany	0,60	0,57	0,58	0,57	0,57
U.S.A.	0,40	0,39	0,36	0,37	0,35
China	0,18	0,10	0,19	0,23	0,27
Türkiye	0,03	0,02	0,02	0,02	0,04

5. CONCLUSION

This study analyzes the competitive structure and relative specialization of countries in the global wine market based on Revealed Comparative Advantage (RCA) scores for the 2019-2023 period. The research findings demonstrate the existence of a dynamic hierarchy in the wine export market and

the significant variation in competitiveness across countries over time.

Analysis has revealed that established producers like France and Italy maintain their global leadership with high and stable RCA scores. These countries reinforce their relative specialization with their deep-rooted winemaking traditions, strong brand recognition, and high quality standards. Conversely,

the decline in Chile's RCA score in 2023 and the significant decline in Australia's RCA clearly demonstrate the volatility of global market dynamics and the proactive nature of maintaining competitive advantages. New Zealand's consistent performance, rising from 2.04 in 2019 to 2.42 in 2023, demonstrates the potential for success through specialization in specific niches and a focus on product quality. The consistent RCA scores of major economies like Germany, the US, and China below 1 confirm that these countries are at a comparative disadvantage in wine exports and are positioned primarily as importers or players with strong domestic markets in the global wine market.

Türkiye's position in wine exports, however, is low despite its significant potential. While Türkiye ranks among the world's top wine producers in terms of vineyard area size and grape production, its wine production and export volumes lag significantly behind this potential. This is the primary reason for the low RCA scores. Analyses indicate that while Türkiye has the potential to specialize in wine, its current advantages are extremely fragile and their sustainability depends on significant strategic interventions. While the growth trend in the domestic market provides a positive signal for wine demand, it is not sufficient on its own to ensure global competitiveness. Prescriptive taxes, particularly the high Special Consumption Tax on alcoholic beverages, directly impact wine production costs, raising prices in the domestic market and negatively constraining export potential. This tax burden reduces producers' motivation to invest and invest in R&D, thereby negatively impacting international competitiveness.

Türkiye needs to adopt a multidimensional strategy to enhance its competitiveness in wine exports and address its relative disadvantage. First, it should be remembered that the country's rich grape variety offers a unique competitive advantage. Instead of competing with high-volume, standardized products in the global market, the focus should be on niche markets, emphasizing the local characteristics and added value of these unique varieties. These products, protected by geographical indications, should be positioned in international markets with a brand perception based on originality and high quality, and specialization should be deepened. Modern, quality-focused viticulture practices should be expanded in wine grape production, and irrigation systems and vineyard infrastructure should be improved. While increasing yield per unit area, the goal should also be to achieve internationally recognized quality standards. Thus, increasing

agricultural support and technical knowledge transfer will strengthen producer capacity.

An integrated approach should be developed for Turkish wines in terms of marketing and promotional strategies. Local demand should be consciously developed in the domestic market through integration with wine tourism and gastronomy. In foreign markets, targeted marketing campaigns should be conducted, emphasizing the historical and cultural depth of grape varieties unique to Türkiye. Given the constantly evolving structure of the global wine market, regular competitive analyses and market research are essential. Changes in RCA scores and potential weakening signals should be closely monitored, strategies should be updated promptly, and the ability to quickly adapt to market dynamics should be enhanced. These integrated strategic steps will transform Türkiye's current disadvantage in wine exports into an opportunity, allowing it to take its rightful place on the global wine scene.

REFERENCES

- Abdikoğlu D., Unakitan G. (2016). International Competitiveness Analysis of Hazelnut Export in Turkey. IBANESS Conference Series, 12-13 March 2016, Plovdiv, Bulgaria.
- Balassa, B. (1965) Trade liberalisation and 'revealed' comparative advantage. *Manch Sch Econ Soc Stud* 33(1):99-123. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1467-9957.1965.tb00050.x>
- Balogh, J., & Fertő, I. (2015). Drivers of export competitiveness in wine sector. *International Conference of Agricultural Economists (ICAE)*, Milano, Italya.
- Cabaroğlu, T. (2023). Türk şarapçılığının durumu ve sorunları. *Bahçe* 52 (1): 269-275
- Castaldi, C., Cholette, S., & Hussain, S. (2006). Competitive Advantage in the Wine Industry: A Review of the Literature. *Journal of Wine Research*, 17(2), 115-130.
- FAO, (2025). Food and Agriculture Organization. Trade. <https://www.fao.org/faostat/en/#data/TCL>,
- Kadakoğlu, B., Bayav, A., & Karlı, B. (2024). Vişnede küresel rekabet gücü ve Türkiye'nin üretim projeksiyonu. *Meyve Bilimi*, 11(2), 68-78. <https://doi.org/10.51532/meyve.1552225>
- Keskin, G. (2021). Türkiye'nin domates üretimindeki kayıpları ve rekabet gücü. *Eurasian Journal of Agricultural Economics*, 1(2), 18-37.
- OIV, (2025). International Organisation of Vine and Wine. <https://www.oiv.int/what-we-do/data-discovery-report?oiv>, erişim tarihi: 31.07.2025.
- Özözen, S. (2023). Türkiye'nin narenciye sektöründe karşılaştırmalı rekabet gücü. *Yönetim Bilimleri Dergisi*, 21(Özel Sayı), 944-967. <https://doi.org/10.35408/comuybd.1345934>
- Özözen, S. (2024). Türkiye'nin zeytin ve zeytinyağı sektöründe küresel rekabet gücünün değerlendirilmesi. *Yönetim Bilimleri Dergisi*, 22(53), 1084-1117. <https://doi.org/10.35408/comuybd.1433166>

- Paksoy, S., & Şahin, H. (2023). Türkiye'nin buğday ve pamuk ihracat rekabet gücünün OECD, AB27 ve G7 ülkeleri ile karşılaştırılması. Pamukkale Üniversitesi Sosyal Bilimler Enstitüsü Dergisi, (57), 333-346. <https://doi.org/10.30794/pausbed.1258267>
- Şenuyar, C., Demirbaş, N., & Saygın, Ö. (2014). Türk şarap sektörünün mevcut durumu ve sektörün gelişimini sınırlayan faktörlerin değerlendirilmesi. U. Ü. Ziraat Fakültesi Dergisi, 28(2), 1-12.
- Süygün, M. S. (2021). Taze ve kuru kayısı ürünlerinde Türkiye'nin uluslararası rekabet gücü. Global Journal of Economics and Business Studies, 10(20), 72-80.
- TÜİK, (2025). Bitkisel Üretim İstatistikleri. <https://biruni.tuik.gov.tr/medas/?kn=92&locale=tr> (Erişim tarihi: 01.08.2025)
- Uysal, H., Saner, G., Atış, E., Gümüş, S., & Karabat, S. (2016). Türkiye'nin Avrupa Birliği şarap pazarında rekabet gücü. Nevşehir Bilim ve Teknoloji Dergisi, TARGİD Özel Sayı, 144-149. <https://doi.org/10.17100/nevbiltek.210980>
- Yılmaz, D. İ. (2025). Competitiveness in global cherry exports: Analysis of comparative advantages of countries. Applied Fruit Science, 67, Article 134. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10341-025-01370-2>